

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME L. GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

AARON BUCHANAN, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 13-80215M CW

ORDER RETURNING  
COMPLAINTS TO  
PLAINTIFF

JEROME L. GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOE, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 13-80220M CW

JEROME L. GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

SGT. PENA, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 13-80221M CW

JEROME L. GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

EXPRESS AUTOMOBILE PAWN, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 13-80222M CW

JEROME L. GRIMES; and LUCY MAE  
GRIMES, decedent,

No. C 13-80223M CW

Plaintiff,

v.

BRIAN SECEMSKY, et al.,

Defendants.

JEROME L. GRIMES; and LUCY MAE  
GRIMES, decedent,

No. C 13-80224M CW

Plaintiff,

v.

GIDEON PRICE, et al.,

Defendants.

JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 13-80225M CW

Plaintiff,

v.

KING'S SECURITY SERVICES, et al.,

Defendants.

JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 13-80227M CW

Plaintiff,

v.

SAFEWAY GROCERY STORE, et al.,

Defendants.

JEROME L. GRIMES,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 13-80232M CW

v.

ALEX LILIEN, et al.,  
Defendants.

---

---

JEROME L. GRIMES,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 13-80233M CW

v.

GERMAN WOODS, et al.,  
Defendants.

---

---

On December 9, 2005, this Court entered a pre-filing order regarding the cases filed by Plaintiff Jerome Grimes. The pre-filing order states that if Mr. Grimes files a complaint that is "related to any of the following matters:

(1) a diversified group of individuals who commit acts of terror against Mr. Grimes, his family and other citizens;

(2) an injunction against the defendants to prevent them from kidnaping, framing, falsely imprisoning or otherwise terrorizing Mr. Grimes, his family, and other citizens;

(3) a court order for the defendants to be subjected to a lie detector test;

(4) covert terrorism

it will not be filed unless it presents cognizable claims that are not based on merely conclusory allegations. Second, no other complaints filed by Mr. Grimes while he is not incarcerated or

1 detained will be filed unless they contain intelligible factual  
2 allegations and claims for relief."

3 The Court has reviewed the above-captioned complaints filed  
4 by Mr. Grimes and finds that they shall not be filed for the  
5 reasons stated below.

6 Complaints C13-80215M and C13-80233M allege that Defendants  
7 are engaged in covert terrorism and that they conspired with a  
8 diverse group of others to commit acts of terror against Mr.  
9 Grimes and others. They also seek court orders for Defendants to  
10 be subjected to a lie detector test. Mr. Grimes alleges no  
11 cognizable causes of action in these complaints.

12 Complaints C13-80220M, 13-80221M, 13-80225M, 13-80227M and  
13 13-80232M allege that Defendants framed Mr. Grimes and falsely  
14 imprisoned him. They also seek court orders for Defendants to be  
15 subjected to a lie detector test. Mr. Grimes alleges no  
16 cognizable causes of action in these complaints.

17 Complaint C13-80222M seeks a court order for Defendants to be  
18 subjected to a lie detector test. Mr. Grimes alleges no  
19 cognizable cause of action in this complaint.

20 Complaints C13-80223M and 13-80224M were filed by Mr. Grimes,  
21 on behalf of himself and a decedent, Lucy Mae Grimes. The  
22 complaints involve allegations of Defendants kidnaping, framing  
23 and falsely imprisoning Mr. Grimes and his family. They also seek  
24 court orders for all Defendants to be subjected to a lie detector  
25 test. Mr. Grimes alleges no cognizable causes of action in these  
26 complaints. In addition, pro se litigants are not permitted to  
27  
28

1 represent others in federal court and thus Mr. Grimes may not  
2 bring claims on behalf of Ms. Grimes or her estate.<sup>1</sup>

3 Because the above-captioned complaints concern multiple  
4 matters mentioned in the pre-filing order and present no  
5 cognizable causes of action, the Clerk of the Court is ordered not  
6 to file them. Instead, the complaints shall be returned to Mr.  
7 Grimes.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9  
10 Dated: 11/18/2013

  
11 CLAUDIA WILKEN  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

---

26 <sup>1</sup> Mr. Grimes appears to be attempting to bring the claims on  
27 behalf of Ms. Grimes directly and not on behalf of her estate. He  
28 does not allege that he is the personal representative of her  
estate.